

**Spokane River Restoration Scenario  
Sierra Club**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

List of Figures . . . iv

Index of Attachments . . . iv

Introduction . . . 2

**SECTION 2.** Summary of Scenario and TMDL Implementation . . . 4

**SECTION 3.** Factual & Legal Realities and Limitations Impacting Scenario Development . . . 6

- a. Collaborative process did not address other pollutants of concern . . . 6
- b. Final solution must meet Spokane Tribe’s water quality standards . . . 6
- c. Impending PCB TMDL will likely impact decisions regarding DO technology . . . 6
- d. County plant will be a “new source” . . . 7
- e. Offsets from non-point source reduction can only occur after proven reduction . . . 7
- f. Given the uncertainties, a phased approach is appropriate . . . 8
- g. The Spokane River has multiple jurisdictions with multiple responsibilities . . . 8

**SECTION 4.** Specific Approaches, Strategies and Actions to Achieve TMDL Goals . . . 9

**APPROACH A: Influent Control and Reduction . . . 9**

Strategy: Water Conservation . . . 10

- Water Quality Benefits of Water Conservation*

Related Laws, Policies & Processes . . . 12

- Actions: Adoption of Municipal Water Conservation Programs . . . 13
- Actions: Integration with Washington’s new municipal water conservation law . . . 14
- Action: Integration with the Rathdrum Prairie Groundwater Management Plan . . . 15
- Action: Coordination among dischargers and public water suppliers . . . 15
- Action: Adoption of industrial water conservation programs . . . 15
- Action: Agricultural water conservation . . . 15

Strategy: Pre-treatment . . . 15

- Related Laws, Policies & Processes . . . 17
- Actions . . . 17

Strategy: Household Phosphorus Elimination . . . 18

- Dishwasher Detergent*
- Fertilizer*
- Garbage Disposals*

Related Laws, Policies & Processes . . .	22
Actions . . .	22
Strategy: <u>Infiltration &amp; Inflow Control</u> . . .	22
Action . . .	23
Strategy: <u>Education/Incentive Program</u> . . .	23
Related Laws, Policies & Processes . . .	23
Actions . . .	23
<b>APPROACH B: Advanced Treatment Technology for Existing Dischargers . . .</b>	<b>24</b>
Related Laws, Policies & Processes . . .	25
Strategy: <u>Advanced wastewater treatment selection</u> . . .	26
<i>Introduction to AKART</i>	
<i>Survey of Technology in Other Communities</i>	
<i>City Pilot Test</i>	
<i>Cost of Treatment &amp; Potential Savings</i>	
<i>Risk</i>	
<i>Alternatives to Conventional Wastewater Treatment Systems</i>	
Actions . . .	30
Strategy: <u>Operational maximization</u> . . .	30
Action . . .	31
Strategy: <u>Permitting</u> . . .	31
Actions . . .	32
Strategy: <u>New Spokane County/Regional Facility</u> . . .	32
<i>Two Options for a New County Facility</i>	
<i>The Third Way: Integrated Wastewater Design</i>	
Actions . . .	34
<b>APPROACH C: Reuse . . .</b>	<b>34</b>
Current Laws, Policies & Processes . . .	35
Strategies/Actions: <u>Feasibility studies</u> . . .	36
Strategy/Action: <u>Regional wastewater facility</u> . . .	36
Strategy/Action: <u>Integrated wastewater management</u> . . .	37
Strategy/Action: <u>Providing regulatory certainty</u> . . .	37
<b>APPROACH D: Non-point controls . . .</b>	<b>37</b>
Related Laws, Policies and Processes . . .	38

*Tributary TMDLs*  
*Federal Funding Programs*  
*State & Federal Rules for Non-point Control*  
*Spokane County & Municipal Watershed Plans, Studies, Ordinances*

Strategy/Actions: Coordination with Tributary TMDLS . . . 41

Strategy: City & County Storm Water Drainage Systems . . . 41

Actions . . . 43

Strategy: Reducing Unregulated Septic Contributions to Phosphorus Loading . . . 43

Actions . . . 44

Strategy/Action: Using Local Land Use Ordinances to Control Non-point . . . 44

Strategy/Actions: Coordination with Non-point Reduction Funding Programs . . . 44

Strategy/Actions: Direct Funding & Monitoring . . . 45

**APPROACH E: Increases in Instream Flows** . . . 46

Related Laws, Policies & Processes . . . 47

*Instream Flow Setting*  
*Avista Dam Relicensing*  
*Water Right Permitting*

Strategy/Actions: Spokane River Streamflow Restoration Program . . . 48

Strategy/Action: Streamflow Advocacy for Dam Relicensing . . . 49

Strategy/Actions: Water Conservation & Streamflow Benefits . . . 49

Strategy/Action: Interstate Water Issues . . . 50

**APPROACH G: Enforcement of Existing Laws/Ordinances** . . . 50

*Local Laws*  
*State Laws/Regulations*

Actions . . . 53

**APPROACH H: Hydropower Impacts** . . . 53

Related Laws, Policies & Processes . . . 54

Actions . . . 54

**SECTION 5.** Department of Ecology Responsibilities . . . 55

**SECTION 6.** Monitoring/Reporting . . . 55

**SECTION 7.** Evaluation and TMDL Amendment . . . 57

**SECTION 8.** Reasonable Assurance . . . 58

## LIST OF FIGURES

- Fig. 1. The Seven Approaches to a Successful Cleanup . . . 3
- Fig. 2. Approaches to Meeting Water Quality Standards . . . 5
- Fig. 3. Phosphorus Content of Major Detergent Brands . . . 19
- Fig. 4. Phosphorus Cycle . . . 20
- Fig. 5. Increase in Pollutant Loading Caused to Septic Systems by the Addition of Garbage Disposal . . . 21
- Fig. 6. Walton Apr-Oct monthly average effluent total phosphorus . . . 27
- Fig. 7. Spokane River annual 7-day low flow, 1891-2005 (misabeled Fig. 1) . . . 46
- Fig. 8. Monitoring Feedback Loop . . . 57

## INDEX OF ATTACHMENTS

- A. Spokane-Coeur d'Alene Watershed Regional Water Issue Matrix (Sierra Club, rev. 9/05)
- B. Watershed Management Plan (Spokane County, Draft 02, 2/05)
- C. Final Order Adopting Ground Water Management Plan (IDWR, 9/15/05)
- D. City of Spokane Water Stewardship Resolution No. 2005-0112 (9/26/05)
- E. Water Conservation Memo to Spokane City Council (3/31/04)  
(Includes websites and water conservation documents from other western cities)
- F. Phosphorus Reduction Tips at a Glance (Minn. Pollution Control Agency, 2003)  
(Includes two fact sheets: Phosphorus: Reducing Releases from Industrial Cleaning and Sanitizing Operations; Metal Phosphatizing Operations)
- G. Liberty Lake SWD, Res. No. 23-05 re Phosphorus Free Dishwasher Detergent (7/20/05)
- H. Draft Phosphorus Product Reduction Ordinances for City of Spokane (n.d.)
- I. TMDL Collaborative Technology Workgroup Treatment Technology Matrix (n.d.)
- J. TMDL Collaborative Technology Workgroup, Memorandum Re Amended Submittal of Preliminary Information (draft 10/21/05) and Bonne Beavers on behalf of Sierra Club, Memorandum re Matrix and Narrative (9/27/05)
- K. Dave Ragsdale, EPA, e-mail message (5/18/05)  
(Includes DMR data from Walton and Stamford New York POTWs)
- L. Data from Spokane WWTP pilot test of three tertiary treatment options (n.d.)
- M. Parkson Corp., DynaSand Filter Partial Reference List (> 5 MGD) (n.d.)
- N. Len Bramble, Ecology, E-mail re Parkson Corp. presentation of 4/6/05 at Ecology ERO (4/12/05)
- O. Spokane Reuse Feasibility Study Request (Sierra Club, 10/05)